Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01055 261842Z

44

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 ISO-00 EURE-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NEA-10 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15

TRSE-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 H-03 NSC-10 SS-20 ACDA-19 CU-04

AEC-11 AECE-00 OMB-01 OIC-04 DRC-01 /169 W ------ 043521

P R 261800Z FEB 74
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4278
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 3746
AMEMBASSY VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
USDOCOSOUTH
USLOSACLANT
USCINCEUR

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 1055

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: NATO, PARM

USMISSION GENEVA

SUBJECT: CSCE CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

VIENNA FOR USDEL MBFR

REF: A) USNATO 913; B) USNATO A-41 C) STATE 37815; D) GENEVA 1128

SUMMARY: IN LIGHT OF NEW NEUTRAL DRAFT ON CBM'S AND BEGINNING OF JOINT DRAFTING IN MILITARY SECURITY SUBCOMITTEE IN GENEVA, UK AND FRG CALLED FOR A SPECIAL POLADS SESSION MARCH 1 TO REVIEW ALLIED POSITIONS. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE FOR MARCH 1 MEETING. END SUMMARY

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 01055 261842Z

1. POLADS ON MARCH 26 DISCUSSED FRG "ILLUSTRATIVE ANNOUNCEMENT OF A MAJOR MILITARY MANEUVER" (REF A); MILITARY COMMITTEE REPS PAPER ON DIVISION THRESHOLD FOR ADVANCE NOTIFICATION (REF B); AND US VIEWS ON SOVIET INFORMAL COMPROMISE PROPOSALS (REF C), WHICH

LED TO QUESTIONS ON NEW NEUTRAL DRAFT (REF D).

2. FRG ILLUSTRATIVE ANNOUNCEMENT -- UK REPEATED RESERVATIONS ABOUT INCLUSION OF DETAILS, IN PARTICULAR EQUIPMENT. ITALIAN AND MC REPS SHARED UK VIEW. ITALIAN REP ADDED THAT ANNOUNCEMENTS SHOULD BE SENT TO ALL CSCE PARTICIPANTS BY NOTE FROM FOREIGN MINISTRIES, AND THAT ANY ILLUSTRATIVE ANNOUNCEMENT TABLED BY ALLIES SHOULD AVOID GIVING AS AN EXAMPLE A MANEUVER CLOSE TO A BORDER; SOVIETS MIGHT SEIZE ON EXAMPLE TO SUPPORT THEIR POSITION. BELGIAN REP THOUGHT TABLING OF GERMAN PROPOSAL WOULD DELAY DRAFTING AND THUS PLAY INTO SOVIET HANDS, AND THAT EXAMPLE WAS UNNECESSARY BECAUSE POINTS IN FRG PAPER HAD ALREADY

BEEN COVERED IN UK DRAFT. TURKISH AND DUTCH REPS, ON OTHER HAND, FAVORED INTRODUCING FRG DRAFT IN CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE AS IT STANDS. FRG REP SAID DOCUMENT WOULD NOT BE CIRCULATED IN GENEVA WITHOUT FULL ALLIED SUPPORT. CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT FRG TEXT MIGHT BE PURSUED IN ALLIED CAUCUS ON FEB 28, BUT POLADS COULD ALSO RETURN TO IT NEXT WEEK IF NECESSARY.

- 3. MC VIEWS ON DIVISION THRESHOLD -- US REP GAVE INSTRUCTIONS IN PARA 1 (D) OF REF C, POINTING OUT THEY APPLY TO MC VIEW THAT DIVISION THRESHOLD SHOULD BE SET AT 10,000 MEN. MC REP SAID HE WOULD CONVEY NEW ELEMENTS IN SITUATION TO MC.
- 4. US VIEWS ON SOVIET INFORMAL COMPROMISE PROPOSALS -- US REP THEN READ REMAINING INSTRUCTIONS IN REF C. FRENCH REP ASKED IF US VIEWS TOOK ACCOUNT OF NEW NEUTRAL DRAFT WITH PROPOSED THRESHOLD FOR ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF 18,000 MEN, AND UK EXPANDED QUESTIONS TO ASK IF US HAD VIEWS ON TACTICS TO HANDLE NEW SITUATION CREATED BY TABLING THE NEUTRAL PROPOSAL. FROM UK STANDPOINT THE NEUTRAL DRAFT HAD SEVERAL UNSATISFACTORY ASPECTS: NOT ONLY THE 18,000 MEN THRESHOLD, WHICH WAS TOO HIGH, BUT ALSO THE 30-DAY LIMIT FOR ADVANCE NOTIFICATION AND THE ILL-DEFINED CRITERIA FOR NOTIFICATION OF SEPARATE AIR AND NAVAL MANEUVERS. NEVERTHELESS, NEUTRAL DRAFT APPEARED TO ENHANCE VALUE OF UK DRAFT RESOLUTION AS A REASONABLE COMPROMISE.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 01055 261842Z

- 5. UK REP SUGGESTED ANOTHER POLADS MEETING THIS WEEK, BEFORE DRAFTING PHASE BEGINS IN GENEVA, TO CONSIDER:
- A. WHERE TO GO ON MOVEMENTS ISSUE, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT KNOWN US VIEWS.
- B. NUMERICAL THRESHOLD FOR ADVANCE NOTIFICATION,
- C. 30-DAY TIME LIMIT,
- D. AREA OF APPLICATION,
- E. DEFINITION OF A MANEUVER.

FRG AND DUTCH REPS SUPPORTED UK PROPOSAL FOR SUPPLEMENTARY MEETING, AND CHAIRMAN SET DATE FOR MARCH 1.

6. ACTION REQUESTED: INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE IN POLADS IN MARCH 1 MEETING. WE DO NOT BELIEVE POLADS SHOULD PREEMPT ALLIED CAUCUS ON TACTICAL ASPECTS, BUT WOULD APPRECIATE GUIDANCE ON SUBSTANTIVE ELEMENTS OF

NEUTRAL DRAFT, WHERE THEY DIFFER FROM UK DRAFT, IN PARTICULAR SEPARATE

CRITERIA FOR SEPARATE TYPES OF MANEUVERS. RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO01055

Document Number: 1974ATO01055 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740267/abbrytlf.tel Line Count: 121 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Gassingation. CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 913; B) USNATO A-41 C) STATE 37815; D) GENEVA 1128
Review Action: REASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 MAR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 MAR 2002 by worrelsw>; APPROVED <01 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CSCE CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES

TAGS: NATO, PARM

To: STATE SECDEF INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS

VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE USDOCOSOUTH USLOSACLANT **USCINCEUR**

GENEVA
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005